

REVIEW

Rodent repellents at a European Union Plant Protection Product level, an orphan use to consider

Mathilde Stefanini, Mathilde Charon, Patrice André Marchand*

Inputs Department, French Institute of Organic Food and Farming (ITAB), Paris, France

Vol. 60, No. 1: 1–6, 2020

DOI: 10.24425/jppr.2020.132203

Received: July 8, 2019

Accepted: November 27, 2019

*Corresponding address:
patrice.marchand@itab.asso.fr

Abstract

Repellent usage against rodents is almost not provided anymore. Permission to use many rodent repellent substances under European Union (EU) plant protection regulations has not been renewed in recent years. Some approval for chemical substances have not been renewed due to their toxicological properties, and for some biorational approvals have also not been renewed due to lack of financial support together with other concerns. Some other rodent repellent substances possessing accurate properties in a secondary way have also been withdrawn. Thus, the use of almost ten active substances is now illegal. The lack of support and the resultant orphan use may be explained by the relatively small market and possible business together with the expectations of modern substance application requirements. As a result, the opportunity to consider new biorational substances as candidates is therefore open. Plant based food substances are preferred candidates for plant protection considering their favourable toxicological characteristics. Capsicum oleoresin, a mixture obtained from two spice species (*Capsicum annuum* and *C. frutescens*), is one of them with appropriate repellent properties. An application under EU Plant Protection Product regulation has recently been submitted and may become a new repellent for biological control agent against seed predators.

Keywords: biorational, birds, mammals, plant protection, repellent

Introduction

Rodents and especially seed predators are of importance in Europe, considering sowing time and predation (Diaz 1992) from birds and mammals like wild boars. Some techniques are used to avoid predation loss (Everet *et al.* 1978; Brown *et al.* 2003). Other methods have used chemical repellents as plant protection products (Johnson *et al.* 1982; Koehler 1983; Werner and Avery 2017), common available substances (Mason and Bonwell 1993; Ahmad 2018) or natural substance (Hansen *et al.* 2016). Nowadays, rodent repellents are almost an orphan use since almost all of these substances are no longer approved or they were simply never approved. Of the previously authorised substances for this specific use and substances allowed for seed treatment and providing the same protection, some of them were not renewed such as anthraquinone,

crude tall oil and pitch, pepper dust and thiram or they were simply never approved (naphthalene, bone oil, guazatine and p-cresyl acetate) at an European Union (EU) level (EU 2019a). The few that are still approved are of concern e.g. bromadiolone and they do not really protect against seed predation. The need of biorational substances (Matyjaszczyk 2018) with a positive profile for this purpose is urgent and essential. This issue is not only a loss of solutions for farmers but also a sad situation for users, and not only for organic farmers. Indeed, almost no other solution is available and legal at an EU level. At the same time, the following active substances have not been approved or renewed or they are no longer approved: naphthalene, bitertanol, anthraquinone, bone tar, guazatine, p-cresyl acetate and tall oil pitch. Only pepper dust was allowed in the

EU for the envisaged purpose, but its approval does not cover usage against birds and wild boars and this substance disappeared in 2019 (EU 2019b). Oleoresin capsicum as a foodstuff and natural substance is one of the promising biorationals for this usage (Barnett 1998).

Materials and Methods

Regulation analysis

The EU Pesticide database was used to assign the function (i.e. Repellent: RE) and the status (approved, non-approved, removal, withdrawal) of each active substance (EU 2019a). Corresponding linked Implementing regulations attached to each active substance were found by the same method and cross verification with Implementing regulation (EU) 540/2011 (EU 2011).

Basic substance applications

Basic substance applications were constituted using EU templates (EU 2014) and all the information, updates, knowledge and skills accumulated by our institute since 2011 (Marchand 2015, 2016, 2017a, b, c).

Results and Discussion

Due to interest in plant protection and the specifications of the substance, oleoresin capsicum was considered as a promising candidate for the repellence of grain predators. Since application as an active substance was not considered due to the exorbitant cost to be considered as a food substance and easily accessible for the end user, another route was taken (Marchand 2017c). Since the concept of basic substance was now operational (Marchand 2016), this pathway has been chosen for the oleoresin capsicum substance.

Capsicum spice a biorational candidate

Plants of the *Capsicum* genus are native to America but they are now cultivated worldwide. Extracts from *C. annuum* or *C. frutescens*, also called oleoresin capsicum, have become important food flavouring in many cuisines. Oleoresins are the concentrated liquid form of the spice. They are obtained by extraction with a non-aqueous solvent followed by removal of the solvent by evaporation. Capsicum spice is an oil soluble extract from the fruits of *C. annuum* or *C. frutescens*. For spice consideration, hardness of the oleoresin capsicum

mixture is defined by the Scoville scale (Scoville 1912). This property, useful for food seasoning, may be used for other purposes such as protecting human foodstuffs from being eaten by pests. In fact, seeds used by farmers for crop sowing may be food or considered as food by birds and other wild animals including deer and boars. The damage caused by these animals on seedlings of many crops is often very significant. Methods are available to scare off these animals to limit these attacks, but they are not always effective. Therefore, crop yields of economically important plants species are particularly threatened at the seedling period. Oleoresin capsicum with its repellent properties (Morgan 2018) could reduce crop losses at the seedling stage. These sown seed targets may be coated by this oleoresin capsicum substance before sowing to be protected from being eaten as food by marauders, so as to avoid interrupted rows in fields due to crop predation and disappearance of seeds.

Use in plant protection

Thus, oleoresin capsicum turns out to be useful in crop protection for repelling animals which cause damage to crop emergence, especially maize, sunflower, wheat, canola and cabbage. Therefore, it should be approved as a crop protection substance considering EU Plant Protection Products (PPP) regulations (Marchand 2015).

The French Institute of Organic Food and Farming (ITAB) is currently working for the approbation of Capsicum spice application Dossier by the European Union for Peyraud Nature, a small company and an early popularizer of the substance. Using our expertise in the field of natural substances for crop protection, we attempted to legalize its use in agriculture in Europe as a basic substance (Marchand 2016).

Capsicum spice is a slightly viscous, homogenous red liquid with good flow properties, extracted from *C. annuum* or *C. frutescens* (Project 294). Oleoresin capsicum preparations contain various components such as capsanthin, capsorubin and especially capsaicinoids like capsaicins and dihydrocapsaicin. The ratio of dihydrocapsaicin to capsaicin is generally around 1 : 1 to 1 : 2 (Govindarajan and Sathyanarayana 1991). The capsaicinoid content in the whole dried powdered fruit can vary from 0.11–1.5% (Evans and Evans 2009). The capsaicin content typically ranges from 0.1 mg · g⁻¹ dry weight in chilli pepper to 2 mg · g⁻¹ dry weight in red pepper and 60 mg · g⁻¹ in oleoresin red pepper (Parrish 1996).

Food status of capsicum oleoresin

Oleoresin capsicum is mainly used in food for seasoning. It is a food additive (flavouring substance) in EU and many other countries. Indeed, the substance is

registered in the feed additives list under Regulation (EC) No. 231/2012 (EU 2012). Oleoresin capsicum also fulfils the criteria of food as described in Regulation (EC) No. 178/2002 as we previously experienced (Markai *et al.* 2002). In Asian countries such as Malaysia, oleoresin capsicum is also recognized as a “flavouring substance” by the Ministry of Health (Ministry of Health 2003). Similarly, in the USA, oleoresin capsicum is registered by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration as a food additive (FDA 2015). Therefore, the substance is compliant with Article 2 of Regulation EC No. 178/2002 (EC 2002a) as “a foodstuff”. According to Regulation EC No. 1107/2009 (EC 2009a) “an active substance which fulfils the criteria of a “foodstuff” shall be considered as a basic substance” (Marchand 2016).

Usages as a repellent

Mode of action in fields

Oleoresin capsicum has repulsive properties. Capsaicin, one of the main components of oleoresin capsicum, has been shown to have antifeedancy properties to various invertebrates (Warthen and Morgan 1990). For example, capsaicin from capsicum in an oleoresin has been used against cotton pests (Mayeux 1996). Extracts of capsicum were also repellent to one species of stored-products beetle (*Sitophilus zeamais*), although not so much to another (*Tribolium castaneum*) (Ho and Fauziah 1993). Repellent products containing the active ingredient capsaicin are available in New Zealand as an invertebrate and vertebrate repellent for protection of buildings, citrus trees, and nursery stock (Spurr and McGregor 2003).

Other studies showed that the oleoresin capsicum has repellent properties against various mammals. For instance, it appeared to have a significant aversive effect on seed consumption by squirrels, even when the substance was poorly concentrated (Govindarajan and Sathyanarayana 1991). Finally, oleoresin capsicum has repulsive properties against invertebrates, mammals and birds (USEPA 1992). Capsaicin, one component of oleoresin capsicum, is also known to be repellent for deer mule (Andelt 1994). Other forest mammals such as wild boars, badgers (Baker *et al.* 2005) and prairie mammals such as mice (Nolte and Barnett 2000) may be repelled as well.

Application in fields

Oleoresin capsicum is mainly used as a seed coating for sweet maize, wheat and sunflower. The substance is applied at 14–15 g · ha⁻¹ with a formulation rate at 94 g · l⁻¹. As a seed treatment, oleoresin capsicum is efficient against boars and birds, including ravens.

Oleoresin capsicum can also be sprayed from the seedling growth stage to 2 leaves (BBCH 02 to BBCH 12

stages). In that case, the substance is an emulsion (oil in water). The application rate treatment is at 30 g · hl⁻¹ with water from 80 to 120 l · ha⁻¹ (Table 1). Capsicum spice is therefore applied from 24 to 36 g · ha⁻¹. A single application is sufficient to protect plants. Foliar spraying can be applied to many crops: sweet maize, canola, cabbage, sunflower and wheat. This treatment can repel boars, stags, rabbits, hares and many birds such as ravens, pheasants and pigeons as described in Table 1.

Oleoresin capsicum is therefore known to be efficient in crop protection. The French company Peyraud Nature was looking for a better status than the regular active substance at PPP regulation, in terms of cost and file/dossier processing (Marchand 2017c). Biorationals are encouraged as plant protection products, if they meet the requirements of Directive 128/2009 (EC 2009b) which encourage efficient use of pesticides and promote biocontrol. As a foodstuff, it is considered to be eligible as a basic substance under Regulation EC No. 1107/2009. Capsicum spice was thereby submitted as a basic substance application (BSA) under this regulation (Marchand 2016).

Application as rodent repellent

BSA as repellent

We worked for the approbation of Capsicum spice by the European Union as a “basic substance”, according to provisions of article 23 laid down by EC Regulation 1107/2009 on placing plant protection products on the market. Capsicum spice was thereby submitted as a basic substance application (BSA) and declared eligible (admissible) in 2016.

EFSA outcome

After the eligibility and the assessment by Europe, EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) issued a negative opinion on the Capsicum spice dossier. The EFSA considered that scientific studies and data were insufficient for some characteristics of the substance (EFSA 2016). For example, they estimated that the recipe of the product was unclear and ambiguous. The repulsive properties of oleoresin capsicum in Capsicum spice was not considered to be sufficiently documented, especially the effects against birds. The EFSA also claimed that there was not enough information regarding the toxicological effects on non-target organisms and especially phytotoxic properties of the substance. They also criticized the genotoxic effect of capsaicin. A substance is toxic when it contains more than 0.025% of capsaicin according to the committee of experts on flavouring substances Panel (EC 2002b). However, oleoresin capsicum, an active matter of the Capsicum spice proposed substance, is used as a food additive, thus it is understood that the substance

Table 1. Usages table (GAP) for Capsicum spice as basic substance

Crop and/or situation	Pests or group of pests controlled	Application			Application rate per treatment			
		formulation type	growth stage/season	number (min–max)	interval between applications [min]	g a.i. · hl ⁻¹ (min–max)	water l · ha ⁻¹ (min–max)	g a.i. · ha ⁻¹ (min–max)
Wheat seeds <i>Triticum vulgare</i> <i>Triticum aestivum</i>								
Durum wheat <i>Triticum durum</i>	repulsive for feeding animals and birds: boar, ravens	seed treatment LS (solution for seed treatment)	sowing BBCH 00	1	–	n.a. (no water)	n.a. (no water)	1 dose (150 ml) for 30 gk seeds
Spelt <i>Triticum spelta</i>								
Sweet maize (Sweet corn) <i>Zea mays</i>								
Sunflower <i>Helianthus annuus</i>								
Sweet maize (Sweet corn) <i>Zea mays</i>								
Canola <i>Brassica napus</i>								
Cabbage <i>Brassica oleraceae</i>	repulsive for feeding animals and birds: boar, stag rabbit, hare, pigeon, ravens, pheasant	foliar spray treatment EW (emulsion oil in water)	seedling/from 1 to 2 leaves	1	–	2 doses per hl	100 (80–120)	1.5 dose (225 ml) to 2 doses (300 ml)
Sunflower <i>Helianthus annuus</i>								
Wheat <i>Triticum vulgare</i> <i>Triticum aestivum</i>								
Durum wheat <i>Triticum durum</i>								
Spelt <i>Triticum spelta</i>								

contains only a small amount of capsaicin (less than 0.025%). Finally, the substance was renamed “paprika extract, capsanthin, capsorubin E 160 c” by the EFSA during evaluation. Based on the E 160 c specifications and qualification as a colouring substance, the food status was not contested; however, the repellent properties were refuted. Repellent claims as plant protection were contested and a negative outcome was delivered in 2016 (EFSA 2016).

Vote issue

A vote by the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed (PAFF committee) was taken according to the EU official vote procedure (Marchand 2015). Before the vote, Capsicum spice basic substance application was renamed “Paprika extract (capsanthin, capsorubin E 160 c)” by the EU Commission and proposed draft was rejected. There was an unanimous vote by the 28 EU Member States in October 2017 for a “negative issue of the BSA”, thereby rejecting the initial proposal (EU 2017). This issue was based on repellence efficacy disagreements mentioned by the EFSA attached to “paprika extract, capsanthin, capsorubin E 160 c” name which was assimilated to be a colouring substance and not a pungent spice. Non-approval

of basic substance candidates is not unusual since 11 applications have been rejected (EU 2019a) since 2014. Some other candidates as basic substances like milk, propolis, *Vitis & Castanea* and *Schinopsis* tannins, L-cysteine and *Saponaria officinalis* root extract (Pavela 2017; EFSA 2017) have not yet been accepted as basic substances since the whole process and the timeframe has been quite unclear since the end of 2017.

Conclusions and Perspectives

The first application of capsicum extract as Capsicum spice within EU pesticide regulations as a repellent was attempted without success. Nevertheless, oleoresin capsicum is a condiment used all over the world. Thanks to its pungent properties, it can also be used to protect seeds from animal attacks. Therefore, oleoresin capsicum used as a seed coating can be an efficient solution to protect seeds from predation (Fitzgerald *et al.* 1995). As a foodstuff, it is considered to be eligible as a basic substance under Regulation EC No. 1107/2009. In addition, oleoresin capsicum, approved as a basic

substance, could also be used by all EU farmers and perhaps farmers worldwide depending on national Plant Protection Product (PPP) regulations. According to the non-approval issue of “Paprika extract (capsanthin, capsorubin E 160 c)” by the PAFF Committee (EU 2017) previously mentioned (9) “This Regulation does not prejudice the submission of a further application for the approval of paprika extract (capsanthin, capsorubin E 160 c) as a basic substance in accordance with Article 23(3) of Regulation (EC) No. 1107/2009”. Therefore, a new submission of a new basic substance application was submitted with “Oleoresin Capsicum” as a new name. Of course, the oleoresin capsicum application is slightly different from the first one and was thereby submitted as a basic substance application (BSA) under this new name. Again, admissibility has to be declared by DGHealth and Food Safety (Marchand 2015). If this new application is successful, later transfer to organic farming regulations will be automatic (Marchand 2016, 2017a, 2017b, 2017c) due to a recent EU regulation (EU 2016). Later, due to the non-overlapping usages of the pepper dust substance, it would be a worthy goal to consider subjecting this abandoned substance under the same status with the same application pathway as basic substance.

References

- Ahmad S., Saleem Z., Jabeen F., Hussain B., Ahmada, Sultana T., Sultana S., Al-Ghanim K.A., Al-Mulhimb N.M.A., Mahboob S. 2018. Potential of natural repellents methylantranilate and anthraquinone applied on maize seeds and seedlings against house sparrow (*Passer domesticus*) in captivity. *Brazilian Journal of Biology* 78 (4): 667–672. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/1519-6984.171686>
- Andelt W.F. 1994. Effectiveness of capsaicin and bitrex repellents for deterring browsing by captive mule deer. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 58 (2): 330–334. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/3809398>
- Baker S.E., Ellwood S.A., Watkins R., MacDonald D.W. 2005. Non-lethal control of wildlife: using chemical repellents as feeding deterrents for the European badger *Meles meles*. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 42 (5): 921–931. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01069.x>
- Barnett J.P. 1998. Oleoresin capsicum has potential as a rodent repellent in direct seeding longleaf pine. p. 326–328. In: *Proceedings of the 9th Biennial Southern Silvicultural Research Conference*. February 25–27, 1997, Campus of Clemson University, Clemson, USA, 628 pp.
- Brown P.R., Singleton G.R., Tann C.R., Mock I. 2003. Increasing sowing depth to reduce mouse damage to winter crops. *Crop Protection* 22: 653–660. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-2194\(03\)00006-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-2194(03)00006-1)
- Diaz M. 1992. Rodent seed predation in cereal crop areas of central Spain: effects of physiognomy, food availability, and predation risk. *Ecography* 15 (1): 77–85. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.1992.tb00011.x>
- EC. 2002a. Regulation (EC) No. 178/2002 of the European parliament and of the council of 28 January 2002 laying down the general principles and requirements of food law, establishing the European food safety authority and laying down procedures in matters of food safety. *Official Journal of the European Union L* 31: 1–24.
- EC. 2002b. Scientific Committee on Food. Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food on Capsaicin. SCF/CS/FLAV/FLAVOUR/8 ADD1 Final: 1–12.
- EC. 2009a. Regulation (EC) No. 1107/2009 of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC. *Official Journal of the European Union L* 309: 1–50.
- EC. 2009b. Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for Community action to achieve the sustainable use of pesticides. *Official Journal of the European Union L* 309: 71–86.
- EFSA. 2016. Outcome of the consultation with Member States and EFSA on the basic substance application for paprika extract, capsanthin, capsorubin E 160 c (admissibility accepted when named *Capsicum* spp. spice) for use in plant protection as repellent to various invertebrates, mammals and birds, EN-1096: 1–54. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2903/sp.efsa.2016.EN-1096>
- EFSA. 2017. Outcome of the consultation with Member States and EFSA on the basic substance application for *Saponaria officinalis* L. roots for use in plant protection as acaricide and plant elicitor, EN-1263: 1–44. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2903/sp.efsa.2017.EN-1263>
- EU. 2011. Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances. *Official Journal of European Union L* 153: 133–319.
- EU. 2012. Regulation (EU) No 231/2012 of 9 March. 2012. Laying down specifications for food additives listed in Annexes II and III to Regulation (EC) No 1333/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council. E 160 c paprika extract, capsanthin, capsorubin. *Official Journal of European Union L* 083: 1–295.
- EU. 2014. SANCO/10363/2012 Rev. 9 and SANCO/10069/2013 Rev. 3. Available on: https://ec.europa.eu/food/sites/food/files/plant/docs/pesticides_ppp_app-proc_basic-subst_guidance.pdf
- EU. 2016. Regulation (EU) No 2016/673 of 29 April 2016 amending Regulation (EC) No 889/2008 laying down detailed rules for the implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 on organic production and labelling of organic products with regard to organic production, labelling and control. *Official Journal of European Union L* 116: 8–22.
- EU. 2017. Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2067 of 13 November 2017 concerning the non-approval of paprika extract (capsanthin, capsorubin E 160 c) as a basic substance in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market. *Official Journal of European Union L* 295: 47–48.
- EU. 2019a. EU Pesticides Database Available on: <http://ec.europa.eu/food/plant/pesticides/eu-pesticides-database/public/?event=activesubstance.selection&language=EN>
- EU. 2019b. Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/324 of 25 February 2019 amending Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 as regards the approval periods of the active substances bifenthrin, carboxin, FEN 560 (also called fenugreek or fenugreek seed powder), pepper dust extraction residue and sodium aluminium silicate. *Official Journal of European Union L* 57: 1–3.
- Evans W.C., Evans D. 2009. *Trease and Evans Pharmacognosy*. 16th ed., Elsevier, London, 616 pp.
- Everet R.L., Meeuwig R.O., Stevens R. 1978. Deer mouse preference for seed of commonly planted species, indigenous weed seed, and sacrifice foods. *Journal of Range Management* 31 (1): 70–73. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/3897641>
- FDA. 2015. *Everything Added to Food in the United States (EAFUS) Doc No. 1836* U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

- Fitzgerald C.S., Curtis P.D., Richmond M.E., Dunn J.A. 1995. Effectiveness of capsaicin as a repellent to birdseed consumption by gray squirrels. National Wildlife Research Center Repellents Conference 1995. Paper 16. Available on: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/nwrcrepellants/16>
- Govindarajan V.S., Sathyanarayana M.N. 1991. Capsicum production, technology, chemistry and quality. Part V. Impact on physiology, pharmacology, nutrition and metabolism; structure, pungency, pain and desensitization sequences. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition 29 (6): 435–473. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408399109527536>
- Hansen S.C., Stolter C., Imholt C., Jacob J. 2016. Plant secondary metabolites as rodent repellents: a systematic review. Journal of Chemical Ecology 42 (9): 970–983. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-016-0760-5>
- Ho T.M., Fauziah M.K. 1993. Laboratory evaluation of two commercial repellents against *Leptotrombidium fletcheri*. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health 24: 165–169.
- Johnson R.J., Koehler A.E., Burnside O.C. 1982. Rodent repellents for planted grain. Proceedings 10th Vertebrate Pest Conference (R.E. Marsh, ed.). University of California, Davis, California 26: 205–209.
- Koehler A.E. 1983. Methiocarb and thiram as thirteen-lined ground squirrel repellents in newly planted corn. Dissertations and Theses in Natural Resources 234: 1–87.
- Marchand P.A. 2015. Basic substances: an opportunity for approval of low-concern substances under EU pesticide regulation. Pest Management Science 71 (9): 1197–1200. DOI: [10.1002/ps.3997](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3997)
- Marchand P.A. 2016. Basic substances under EC 1107/2009 phytochemical regulation: experience with non-biocide and food products as biorationals. Journal of Plant Protection Research 56 (3): 312–318. DOI: [10.1515/jppr-2016-0041](https://doi.org/10.1515/jppr-2016-0041)
- Marchand P.A. 2017a. Basic Substances under EU Pesticide Regulation: an opportunity for Organic Production? Organic Farming 3 (1): 16–19. DOI: [10.12924/of2017.03010016](https://doi.org/10.12924/of2017.03010016)
- Marchand P.A. 2017b. Basic substances as renewable and affordable crop protection products. Chronicle of Bioresource Management 1 (2): 065–066.
- Marchand P.A. 2017c. Basic and low risk substances under European Union pesticide regulations: A new choice for biorationals portfolio of small and medium-sized enterprises. Journal of Plant Protection Research 57 (4): 433–440. DOI: [10.1515/jppr-2017-0056](https://doi.org/10.1515/jppr-2017-0056)
- Markai S., Marchand P.A., Mabon F., Baguet E., Billault I., Robins R.J. 2002. Natural deuterium distribution in branched-chain medium-length fatty acids is nonstatistical: a site-specific study by quantitative ²H NMR spectroscopy of the fatty acids of capsaicinoids. ChemBioChem 3 (2–3): 212–218. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1002/1439-7633-\(20020301\)3:2/3<212::aid-cbic212>3.0.co;2-r](https://doi.org/10.1002/1439-7633-(20020301)3:2/3<212::aid-cbic212>3.0.co;2-r)
- Mason J.R., Bonwell W.R. 1993. Evaluation of turpentine as a bird-repellent seed treatment. Crop Protection 12 (6): 453–457. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0261-2194\(93\)90007-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0261-2194(93)90007-6)
- Matyjaszczyk E. 2018. Plant protection means used in organic farming throughout the European Union. Pest Management Science 74: 505–510. DOI: [10.1002/ps.4789](https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4789)
- Mayeux J.V. 1996. Hot shot insect repellent: an adjuvant for insect control. p. 35. In: Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conferences. Vol 1. Nashville, TN, USA.
- Ministry of Health. 2003. Guidelines on permitted flavouring substances (Regulation 22, Food Regulations 1985). Malaysia.
- Morgan D.E. (ed). 2018. CRC Handbook of Natural Pesticides. Volume VI: Insect Attractants and Repellents. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 146 pp. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781351072694>
- Nolte D.L., Barnett J.P. 2000. A repellent to reduce mouse damage to longleaf pine seed. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation 45 (3–4): 169–174. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0964-8305\(00\)00060-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0964-8305(00)00060-3)
- Parrish M. 1996. Liquid chromatographic method of determining capsaicinoids in capsicums and their extractives: collaborative study. Journal of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists International 79 (3): 738–745.
- Pavela R. 2017. Extract from the roots of *Saponaria officinalis* as a potential acaricide against *Tetranychus urticae*. Journal of Pest Science 90 (2): 683–692. DOI: [10.1007/s10340-016-0828-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-016-0828-6)
- Project 294. (n.d.). Profile on production of oleoresin of pepper, Southinvest.gov.et. 18 pp.
- Scoville W.L. 1912. Note on capsicums. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 1: 453–454. DOI: [10.1002/jps.3080010520](https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.3080010520)
- Spurr E.B., McGregor P.G. 2003. Potential invertebrate antifeedants for toxic baits used for vertebrate pest control: A literature review. Science for Conservation 232. Department of Conservation Wellington, New Zealand: 13.
- USEPA. 1992. Capsaicin EPA-738-F-92-016 US Environmental Protection Agency.
- Warthen K., Morgan E.D. 1990. Insect feeding deterrents. p. 23–134. In: “Handbook of Natural Pesticide” (E.D. Morgan, N.B. Mandava, eds.). CRC, Boca Raton.
- Werner S.J., Avery M.L. 2017. Chemical repellents. USDA National Wildlife Research Center – Staff Publications 1979 8: 135–158.